Synthesis and properties of fluorescent organoboranes: triarylmethane-type dyes

Karsten Albrecht,^a Volker Kaiser,^b Roland Boese,^c Jörg Adams^d and Dieter E. Kaufmann *^a

- ^{*a} Institut für Organische Chemie, Technische Universität Clausthal, Leibnizstr. 6,* 38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany. E-mail: dieter.kaufmann@tu-clausthal.de</sup>
- ^b Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Technische Universität Clausthal, Paul-Ernst-Str. 4, 38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany
- ^c Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität GH Essen, Universitätsstr. 5–7, 45117 Essen, Germany
- ^d Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Technische Universität Clausthal, Arnold-Sommerfeld-Str. 4, 38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 20th March 2000, Accepted 2nd August 2000 Published on the Web 19th September 2000

The syntheses and photochemical properties of the novel aminoaryldiarylboranes, **4**, which are isoelectronic with triarylmethane dyes, and also pyrrolyl- **8**, and indolyl-diarylboranes **11**, are described. The fluorescence spectra are strongly dependent on the solvent. The use of *o*-disubstituted arenes as stabilizing substituents at the boron atom leads to highly coloured solids which are stable to air and moisture. The structures of the triarylboranes **4a** and **b** were confirmed by X-ray analyses.

Introduction

 Table 1
 Optical properties and stability of 4a-e, 5, 8 and 11

Polarized π -electron systems are important because they act as chromophores in organic dyes and, furthermore, are of interest as materials with non-linear optical properties used in optoelectronic techniques.1 Usually, both electron donating and electron withdrawing groups are connected via aromatic or conjugated olefinic π -systems, resulting in an internal chargetransfer band in the UV-VIS area. In the frequently used triarylmethane dyes, conjugation is transferred over the central carbenium ion. Formally, such a carbenium ion is isoelectronic with an sp²-hybridised boron atom² and replacement of the former by the latter should lead to a novel class of organic dyes.3,4 As early as 1955, Wittig and Herwig reported the synthesis of tris[4-(N,N-dimethylamino)phenyl]borane and its interesting optical properties.⁵ Further investigations showed that the stability towards nucleophilic attack could be increased by the introduction of two mesityl (2,4,6-trimethylphenyl) groups at the boron atom.⁶ However, these studies were limited to 4-(N,N-dialkylamino)phenyl- and 4-(N,N-diarylamino)phenyl-dimesitylboranes and no further investigations on different substituent patterns or aryl types have been reported.⁷ As boranes are known to form complexes with Lewis bases, the possible solvent dependence of the UV and/or fluorescence spectra of these B–N-dyes could lead to interesting applications in the field of sensor molecules.

Results and discussion

We now wish to report our results on the synthesis and properties of 2-(N,N-dialkylamino)phenyl- and 2-(N,N-diaryl-amino)phenyl-diarylboranes and 2-(N-alkylpyrrolyl)- and 2-(N-alkylindolyl)-diarylboranes. In order to develop a general approach to these triarylboranes, beginning with commercially available starting materials, we first synthesized the N-substituted arylboronic esters and then reacted them with the appropriate aryl anions.

Being Lewis acids, boranes form adducts with Lewis bases, such as amino groups, which are present intramolecularly in aminoaryldiarylboranes. Most boranes are decomposed in

DOI: 10.1039/b002184i

UV-Vis Fluorescence Quantum R⁵ R³ R⁴ λ_{max}/nm^a λ_{max}/nm^a yield ϕ 414.6 488.4 4a Me Η Mes 0.67^c 414.9 488.1^b 4b Me Η Durvl 415.6* 488.0* 0.89° 4c Et Н Mes 4d 386.9* 393 9% Ph Н Mes 4e Me NMe₂ Mes 460.0^c 603.0; 418.0° 5 280.7 406.9 0H Durvl 8 327 4° 447 0° 0.20° 0.57^c 11 343.5 458.0⁴ сн.

 a Only the longest wavelength is selected. b In isooctane. c In cyclohexane.

$$R^{1}Li = B(OMe)_{3} \longrightarrow R^{1}B(OMe)_{2} \xrightarrow{R^{2}Li} R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{2}$$

Scheme 1

water in the presence of acids, bases or oxygen. However, sterically hindered boron substituents increase the stability of boranes towards nucleophilic attack. In preliminary experiments we examined the stability of the aminoaryldiarylboranes with respect to the steric hindrance of attack by oxygen and water. As a test system, we used 2-(N,N-dimethylamino)-phenylboronic acid dimethyl ester⁸ and reacted it with different anions (Table 1, Scheme 1). The two mesityl and duryl (2,3,5,6-tetramethylphenyl) groups stabilize the resulting boranes independent of the nature of the third substituent.

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2000, 2153–2157 2153

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2000

The preparation of *o*-borylated dialkylanilines can be easily performed by deprotonation of dialkylanilines (1) with strong bases, such as n-butyllithium, in the presence of TMEDA (N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine)⁹ and subsequent reaction with B(OMe)₃ to give the boronic esters (3) (Scheme 2). In contrast to the usual hydrolysis workup to boronic acids, we either distilled the boronic acid ester directly from the reaction mixture or used it in situ. The introduction of two further substituents, R⁵, to 4 succeeds in good yields by treatment with two equivalents of the corresponding lithium compound, R⁵Li. Following this procedure, we were able to prepare a series of boranes (4a-c, e) with different alkyl groups, R³, at the nitrogen and various aryl groups, R⁵, at the boron atom. In order to evaluate the influence of the substituents on the properties of the resulting compounds (4), we introduced two phenyl groups at the amino group (4d), as well as an additional dimethylamino group in the *m*-position to the boron substituent (4e).¹⁰ Whereas 4d forms yellow crystals and behaves in a similar manner to 4a-c, 4e is a red solid that decomposes slowly in the presence of sunlight.



Using only one equivalent of \mathbb{R}^5 Li in the conversion of 3, after aqueous workup the borinic acid (5) could be isolated in moderate yield (Scheme 3). However, this reaction shows that a stepwise procedure to form triarylboranes with three different substituents at the boron atom is also possible.



The non-benzenoid pyrrole and indole groups incorporated into our work were borylated either by deprotonation, in the case of pyrrole,¹¹ or *via* halogen-metal exchange, in the case of indole.¹² In the final step compound **7** was treated with FBMes₂¹³ to give the mixed triarylborane **8** (Scheme 4). The indole (**9**) was first transferred to the boronic ester (**10**), which was added to MesLi to give indol-2-yldimesitylborane (**11**) (Scheme 5). Both compounds **8** and **11** are stable in the presence of air and water and show a blue fluorescence. However, **8** is the only colourless aminoaryldiarylborane within our study.

Triarylboranes, in particular those with substituents in the *o*-position to the boron atom, are known to exist in a propeller-



type conformation.¹⁴ Accordingly, one might expect the aryl rings of the triarylboranes 4a-e, 8 and 11 to be twisted out of the plane by about 50°.¹⁵ However, the amino group in the neighbourhood of the boron atom favours conjugation that is at a maximum when B and N are in a planar conformation. As a direct intramolecular interaction is very unlikely,¹⁶ we were interested in the structure and spectroscopic properties of 4a-e, 8 and 11.

Compared to carbon analogues, the main framework of 4a-e is isoelectronic to triphenylmethane dyes. Their electronic structure could also be written in a mesomeric resonance formula, 4-II, shown in Fig. 1. In fact, the 2-aminophenyldiarylboranes 4a-e are coloured fluorescent solids. Further than the fundamental structural similarity between the triphenylmethane dyes and the newly developed compounds, 4a-e, a detailed comparison proved difficult. The arene groups of common triphenylmethane dyes usually bear donating substituents in the *para*-position, whereas 4a-e bear electron donating aminogroups in the *ortho*-position. Therefore, the structural, as well as electronic, properties of 4a-e, which are largely influenced by the substituents, have no direct counterpart among the triphenylmethane dyes.

Solid-state parameters were derived from X-ray structure analysis of **4a** and **4b** (Table 2 and Fig. 2). In general, both structures are very similar, showing the expected propeller-type conformation (for reasons of clarity, we shall only discuss structure **4a**). However, the twisting of each aryl ring out of the reference plane is different, with 52 and 59° for the mesityl rings (which are oriented nearly orthogonal to each other), compared to only 31° for the 2-aminophenyl group, which is twisted towards the mesityl planes by 68 and 81°. The geometry at nitrogen is between tetrahedral and planarity with angles of $\angle C(15)N(1)C(24) = 118.65^\circ$, $\angle C(15)N(1)C(25) = 119.31^\circ$ and a dihedral angle $\angle C(24)N(1)C(25) = 112.21^\circ$. Furthermore, the B–C bond length is slightly shorter to the 2-aminophenyl

Table 2 Crystal data^a

Compound	4a	4b
Chemical formula	C ₂₆ H ₃₂ BN	C ₂₈ H ₃₆ BN
Formula weight	369.34	397.39
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	C2/c	C2/c
Final <i>R</i> factor $(I > 2\sigma(I))$		
<i>R</i> 1	0.0744	0.0717
wR2	0.2004	0.1794
Final R factor (all data)		
<i>R</i> 1	0.1844	0.1391
wR2	0.2454	0.2180
Unit cell dimensions		
a/Å	28.728(20)	31.190(5)
b/Å	9.7130(10)	9.766(2)
c/Å	16.9970(10)	17.401(2)
β/°	105.95(2)°	111.053(7)°
V/A ³	4560.2(32)	4946.4(13)
<i>T</i> /K	293	293
Ζ	8	8
Independent reflections (R_{int})	4459 (0.0202)	4381 (0.0497)

^a CCDC reference number 188/265. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/ p2/b0/b002184i/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.



Fig. 2 Solid-state structure of **4a**. Selected distances (Å) and angles (°): BC(3), 1.573(5); BC(4), 1.555(6); BC(5), 1.593(5); C(4)C(15), 1.396(5); N(1)C(15), 1.415(5); N(1)C(24), 1.440(5); N(1)C(25), 1.444(5); $\angle C(15)N(1)C(24)$, 118.65; $\angle C(15)N(1)C(25)$, 119.31; $\angle C(24)N(1)C(25)$, 112.21.



ring, with 155 pm compared to 157(9) pm to the mesityl rings. This structural finding can be interpreted in terms of the participation of the mesomeric form **4–II** to the valence-bond structure of **4**.

The pyrrole and indole derivatives, 8 and 11, differ from 4a–e in that the nitrogen is incorporated into an electron rich heterocycle. A mesomeric resonance structure must therefore be formulated using the whole ring system (Fig. 3). However, the participation of the dipolar 11–II should also lead to a coloured and fluorescent compound.

In order to study the optical properties of 4a–e, 5, 8 and 11 in detail, we measured their UV and fluorescence spectra. While

all compounds 4a-d, 5, 8 and 11 are stable in the solid state, kept in darkness for unlimited time, dilute solutions of 4a-e, however, slowly undergo breaking of the boron-aminophenyl bond. This applies in particular for 4e, which decomposes in solution within a few hours. With the exception of 4d, 4e and 5, all the compounds show featureless, broad fluorescence emission spectra with maxima between 418 and 488 nm (isooctane or cyclohexane). Example quantum yields were measured for 4a, 4c, 8 and 11 (Table 1). The values range from 0.20 and 0.57 for pyrrole-type compounds 8 and 11 up to 0.67 and 0.89 for the triarylmethane-type dyes 4a and 4c. The polar excited states of 4a-e, 8 and 11 are especially stabilized by polar solvents, as is shown by measuring the fluorescence spectra in different solvents. We observed a strong shift of the emission maxima e.g, for 4c from 490 to 540 nm going from cyclohexane to DMSO, without any significant change in the excitation spectra.17

It is striking that, although 4d incorporates two additional phenyl groups compared to 4a and 4b, the band of absorbance at the longest wavelength undergoes a hypsochromic shift (415 \rightarrow 387 nm). Furthermore, the UV spectra, as well as the fluorescence spectra, of 4d show a vibrational structure, which together suggest that the substituents at boron and the amino group have a non-coplanar arrangement of the C–B and the C–N bond due to steric hindrance. We are currently extending our study of the substituted derivatives of 4 and 11 and their spectroscopic properties *i.e.*, the fluorescence spectra in different solvents, durability of the excited states, and the quantum yields.

Experimental

All reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere using the Schlenk technique. Hexane was distilled from sodium. Diethyl ether and THF were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. N, N, N', N'-Tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) was dried over CaH₂ and distilled freshly before use. Chromatography was performed with mixtures of petroleum etherdiethyl ether, using Merck aluminium oxide 90, neutral (particle size 0.063–0.200 mm, activity III). All temperatures quoted are not corrected. Melting points were determined on a calibrated Büchi hot stage. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker ARX 400 (400 MHz) and AC 200 F (200 MHz) spectrometers. Chemical shifts were reported relative to tetramethylsilane (¹H and ¹³C) and BF₃·Et₂O (¹¹B). δ Values are given in ppm, J values in Hz. Multiplicities of ¹³C NMR signals were determined by the DEPT sequence and are reported as follows: + for CH or CH_3 , – for CH_2 and o for C. IR spectra were obtained using a Bruker Vector 22 FTIR instrument. UV spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 512. Fluorescence spectra were measured with a Hewlett Packard 8452A spectrometer and a Spex Fluorolog 2. Fluorescence lifetimes were obtained via time correlated single photon counting using a PRA flash lamp. The quantum yield ϕ was determined from the corrected fluorescence spectrum of the individual substances in diluted deoxygenated cyclohexane solution by using the flourescence standard 9,10-diphenylanthracene (DPA; Aldrich) in cyclohexane according to the literature procedure.¹⁸ Mass spectra were obtained with a Hewlett Packard HP MS 5889 B instrument operating at 70 eV. The elemental analyses were performed by the Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Braunschweig.

General procedure 1 (GP 1) for the preparation of the 2-aminophenylboronic esters 3

To a solution of TMEDA (3.0 mL, 20.0 mmol) and BuLi (13.6 mL of a 1.6 M solution in hexane, 22.0 mmol) in hexane (50 mL) was added the N,N-dialkyl- or N,N-diphenylaminobenzene (20 mmol) at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Subsequently, the anion suspension was added *via* a Teflon tube to a $-78 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ solution of B(OMe)₃ (2.5 mL, 22 mmol) in hexane (10 mL). The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 8 h.

Compounds 3a–b. The solution was separated from precipitated LiOMe and the solvents distilled slowly under reduced pressure. The product was first flash distilled at 1 Torr and finally purified by a precision distillation (20 cm Vigreux) at the same pressure and stored under nitrogen.

Compounds 3c–d. The solution was separated from precipitated LiOMe and used without further purification.

2-(*N*,*N*-**Dimethylamino)phenylboronic** acid dimethyl ester (**3a**). Yield 2.5 g (65%), bp 70 °C/1 Torr. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.85 (6 H, s, NCH₃), 3.64 (6 H, s, OCH₃), 6.75–6.90 (2 H, m, ArH), 7.19–7.28 (2 H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz; CDCl₃) 43.8 (+, NCH₃), 52.3 (+, OCH₃), 114.7 (+), 119.2 (+), 129.2 (o), 129.6 (+), 132.8 (+), 155.3 (o); $\delta_{\rm B}$ (128.4 MHz; CDCl₃) 30.6; *m*/*z* (EI) 193 (M⁺, 15%), 178 (25), 146 (32), 120 (100), 77 (63). C₁₀H₁₆NBO₂ (193.1): calcd. C 62.22, H 8.35, N 7.26; found C 62.63, H 8.14, N 7.20%.

2-(*N*,*N*-**Diethylamino)phenylboronic acid dimethyl ester (3b).** Yield 2.0 g (45%), bp 76 °C/1 Torr. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.05 (6 H, t, ³*J* 7, CH₂C*H*₃), 3.11 (4 H, q, ³*J* 7, NCH₂), 3.56 (6 H, s, OCH₃), 6.72–6.93 (2 H, m, ArH), 7.09–7.23 (2 H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz; CDCl₃) 12.3 (+, CH₂CH₃), 46.9 (-, CH₂CH₃), 52.9 (+, OCH₃), 118.2 (+), 120.6 (+), 129.0 (+), 132.7 (+), 134.8 (o), 153.7 (o); $\delta_{\rm B}$ (128.4 MHz; CDCl₃) 30.2; *m/z* (EI) 221 (M⁺, 16%), 206 (80), 192 (100), 176 (79), 160 (66), 134 (62). C₁₂H₂₀NBO₂ (221.1): calcd. C 65.19, H 9.12, N 6.33; found C 65.53, H 9.18, N 6.21%.

General procedure 2 (GP 2) for the preparation of the 2-aminophenyldiarylboranes (4)

To an ice cold solution of the aryl bromide (10.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (20 ml) was added BuLi (6.9 mL of a 1.6 M solution in hexane, 11.0 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the boronic ester (5.0 mmol), either in diethyl ether (10 mL) or from the reaction mixture of GP 1, was added to the anion suspension within 1 h. After stirring for 12 h, the mixture was hydrolysed with brine (30 mL), extracted with diethyl ether (2×20 ml) and dried with Na₂SO₄. The diethyl ether was distilled off and the residue chromatographed on Al₂O₃ with petroleum ether–diethyl ether (5:1).

2-(N,N-Dimethylamino)phenyldimesitylborane (4a). Bromomesitylene (1.95 g, 10.0 mmol) was treated with 3a (960 mg, 5.0 mmol) to give 1.40 g (76%) 4a as yellow crystals, $R_f = 0.07$; mp 144 °C. Single crystals of 4a were obtained by recrystallization from pentane. v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 3002, 2917, 1605, 1581, 1468, 1236, 849, 834; λ_{max} (isooctane/nm) 414.6 (lg (ε /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 3.53), 315.4 (4.04), 256.4 (4.26), 203.1 (4.78); fluorescence (excitation at 315 nm): λ_{max} (isooctane/nm) 488.4; δ_{H} (200 MHz; C₆D₆) 2.11 (12 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.18 (6 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.45 (6 H, s, NCH₃), 6.55-6.61 (1 H, m, ArH), 6.76 (4 H, s, MesH), 6.69-6.81 (1 H, m, ArH), 7.11-7.19 (1 H, m, ArH), 7.49-7.61 (1 H, m, ArH); δ_c (50.3 MHz; C₆D₆) 19.9 (+, ArCH₃), 22.1 (+, ArCH₃), 43.6 (+, NCH₃), 113.4 (+), 118.4 (+), 126.5 (o), 127.5 (+), 127.9 (o), 132.2 (+), 136.7 (o), 137.2 (+), 139.5 (o), 157.9 (o); $\delta_{\rm B}$ (128.4 MHz; CDCl₃) 70.5; *m/z* (EI) 369 (M⁺, 50%), 354 (100), 324 (20), 234 (22), 204 (88). C₂₆H₃₂BN (369.4): calcd. C 84.55, H 8.73, N 3.79; found C 84.23, H 8.23, N 4.15%.

2-(*N*,*N***-Dimethylamino)phenylbis(2,3,5,6-tetramethylphenyl)borane (4b).** 1-Bromo-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene (2.13 g, 10.0 mmol) was treated with **3a** (960 mg, 5.0 mmol) to give 1.29 g (65%) **4b** as yellow crystals, $R_{\rm f} = 0.08$; mp 154 °C. $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (KBr) 2923, 2854, 1583, 1556, 1456, 1263, 1021, 797; λ_{max} (isooctane/nm) 414.9 (lg (ϵ /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 3.51), 316.2 (3.96), 252.6 (4.15), 201.6 (4.71); fluorescence (excitation at 315 nm): λ_{max} (isooctane/nm) 488.1; δ_{H} (200 MHz; C_6D_6) 2.15 (24 H, br s, ArCH₃), 2.43 (6 H, s, NCH₃), 6.61–6.83 (2 H, m, ArH), 7.00 (2 H, s, ArH), 7.14–7.33 (1 H, m, ArH), 7.65–7.78 (1 H, m, ArH); δ_{C} (50.3 MHz; C_6D_6) 20.8 (+, ArCH₃), 45.1 (+, NCH₃), 116.2 (+), 120.5 (+), 126.1 (o), 127.7 (+), 132.8 (+), 133.7 (o), 134.1 (o), 136.7 (+), 139.9 (o), 159.8 (o); δ_{B} (128.4 MHz; CDCl₃) 72.5; *m/z* (EI) 398 (M⁺+1, 100%), 383 (20), 352 (23), 264 (22). C₂₈H₃₆BN (397.4): calcd. C 84.62, H 9.13, N 3.52; found C 84.36, H 9.26, N 3.15%.

2-(N,N-Diethylamino)phenyldimesitylborane (4c). Bromomesitylene (1.95 g, 10.0 mmol) was treated with 3b (960 mg, 5.0 mmol) to give 1.43 g (72%) **4c** as yellow crystals, $R_f = 0.07$; mp 124 °C. v_{max}/cm⁻¹ (KBr) 2978, 2916, 1605, 1556, 1468, 1431, 1274, 1236, 849, 834, 754; λ_{max} (isooctane/nm) 415.6 (lg (ϵ /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 3.57), 315.1 (4.08), 256.5 (4.30), 202.9 (4.84); fluorescence (excitation at 315 nm): λ_{max} (isooctane/nm) 488.0; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz; C₆D₆) 0.65 (6 H, t, ³J 7, CH₂CH₃), 2.09 (6 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.17 (12 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.77–3.01 (4 H, br s, CH₂CH₃), 6.51-6.75 (2 H, m, ArH), 6.77 (4 H, s, MesH), 7.14-7.22 (1 H, m, ArH), 7.45–7.52 (1 H, m, ArH); δ_{C} (50.3 MHz; CDCl₃) 11.7 (+, CH₂CH₃), 21.7 (+, ArCH₃), 23.8 (+, ArCH₃), 48.3 (-, NCH₂), 117.9 (+), 120.7 (+), 127.4 (o), 127.9 (+), 133.4 (+), 138.5 (+), 138.6 (o), 141.4 (o), 143.5 (o), 158.1 (o); $\delta_{\rm B}$ (128.4 MHz; CDCl₃) 71.0; *m*/*z* (EI) 398 (M⁺+1, 44%), 382 (85), 324 (20), 262 (43), 204 (52), 133 (100). C₂₈H₃₆BN (397.4): calcd. C 84.62, H 9.13, N 3.52; found C 84.70, H 9.40, N 3.40%.

2-(*N*,*N*-**Diphenylamino)phenyldimesitylborane** (**4d**). Bromomesitylene (1.95 g, 10.0 mmol) was treated with 5 mmol from the reaction mixture of **3c** to give 570 mg (23% over both steps) **4d** as yellow crystals, $R_{\rm f} = 0.06$; mp 204 °C. $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (isooctane/nm) 386.9 (lg (ϵ /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 4.24), 368.6 (4.08), 291.1 (3.91), 280.5 (3.85), 251.4 (4.93), 204.3 (4.86); fluorescence (excitation at 280 nm): $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (isooctane/nm) 393.9; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz; C₆D₆) 2.19 (12 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.31 (6 H, s, ArCH₃), 6.67–7.24 (14 H, m, ArH), 8.05–8.21 (4 H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm c}$ (50.3 MHz; CDCl₃) 21.9 (+, ArCH₃), 24.0 (+, ArCH₃), 117.9 (+), 120.8 (+), 125.0 (+), 128.1 (+), 128.7 (o), 129.1 (+), 131.3 (+), 133.6 (+), 137.9 (+), 139.8 (o), 141.4 (o), 142.4 (o), 143.5 (o), 147.6 (o); $\delta_{\rm B}$ (128.4 MHz; CDCl₃) 70.0; *m*/*z* (EI) 493 (M⁺, 6%), 373 (100), 253 (11), 245 (10). C₃₆H₃₆BN (493.5): calcd. C 87.57, H 7.35, N 2.84; found C 87.41, H 7.73, N 2.44%.

2,5-Bis(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)phenyldimesitylborane (4e). Bromomesitylene (1.95 g, 10.0 mmol) was treated with 5 mmol from the reaction mixture of 3d to give 290 mg (14%) 4e as red crystals, $R_f = 0.05$; mp = 65.0 °C. λ_{max} (hexane/nm) 460.0 (lg (ɛ/dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 3.42), 260.0 (4.10), 216.0 (4.80); fluorescence (excitation at 324 nm): λ_{max} (cyclohexane/nm) 603.0 (rel. int. 1.0), 418.0 (0.47); fluorescence lifetime (cyclohexane, λ_{Ex} 322 nm, $\lambda_{\rm Em}$ 550 nm): τ 11.9 ns; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz; C₆D₆) 2.31 (6 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.42 (12 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.61 (6 H, s, NCH₃), 2.62 (6 H, s, NCH₃), 6.66-7.08 (2 H, m, ArH), 6.89 (4 H, s, MesH), 7.15–7.14 (1 H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz; CDCl₃) 21.7 (+, ArCH₃), 23.9 (+, ArCH₃), 41.5 (+, NCH₃), 46.6 (-, NCH₃), 116.6 (+), 118.3 (+), 118.9 (+), 127.7 (+), 129.1 (o), 137.9 (o), 138.4 (o), 141.2 (o), 147.2 (o), 151.2 (o); δ_{B} (128.4 MHz; CDCl₃) 75.0; m/z (EI) 412 (M⁺, 100%), 397 (20), 382 (27), 247 (48). C28H37BN2 (412.4): calcd. C 81.54, H 9.04, N 6.79; found C 81.70, H 9.40, N 6.92%.

2-(*N*,*N*-Diethylamino)phenyl-2,3,5,6-tetramethylphenylborinic acid (5)

To an ice cold solution of 1-bromo-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene (1.06 g, 5.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 mL) was added BuLi (3.5

mL of a 1.6 M solution in hexane, 5.5 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Subsequently, a solution of 3b (1.10 g, 5.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (5 mL) was added to the anion suspension over 1 h. After stirring for 12 h, the mixture was hydrolysed with brine (30 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ and dried with Na₂SO₄. The diethyl ether was distilled off and the residue chromatographed on Al₂O₃ using petroleum ether-diethyl ether (5:1) as solvent $(R_{\rm f} = 0.21)$, giving 650 mg (42%) **5** as yellow crystals, mp 124 °C. λ_{max} (isooctane/nm) 445.4 (lg (ϵ /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 0.64), 426.5 (0.77), 416.8 (0.80), 411.5 (1.11), 376.7 (0.99), 363.4 (0.95), 354.0 (0.87), 280.7 (3.06), 272.8 (3.10), 223.1 (4.26); fluorescence (excitation at 280 nm): λ_{max} (isooctane/nm) 406.9; δ_H (200 MHz; C₆D₆) 0.95 (6 H, t, ³J 7.5, CH₂CH₃), 2.19 (6 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.30 (6 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.66 (4 H, q, ³J 7.5, CH₂CH₃), 6.83-6.7.14 (4 H, m, ArH), 7.50-7.62 (1 H, m, ArH), 13.48 (1 H, s, OH); δ_C (50.3 MHz; CDCl₃) 13.2 (+, CH₂CH₃), 19.9 (+, ArCH₃), 20.1 (+, ArCH₃), 51.6 (-, NCH₂), 122.9 (+), 127.0 (+), 127.5 (o), 128.7 (+), 131.8 (o), 132.6 (+), 133.5 (o), 135.1 (o), 139.5 (o), 157.1 (o); $\delta_{\rm B}$ (128.4 MHz; CDCl₃) 47.9; *m*/*z* (EI) 309 (M⁺, 44%), 221 (35), 160 (55), 147 (100), 121 (72). C20H28BNO (309.3): calcd. C 77.61, H 9.13, N 4.53; found C 77.34, H 9.25, N 4.45%.

(N-Methylpyrrol-2-yl)dimesitylborane (8)

At -78 °C, to a solution of N-methylpyrrole (1.60 g, 20.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 mL) was added BuLi (6.9 mL of a 1.6 M solution in hexane, 11.0 mmol) and the mixture was stirred 8 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the solution was cooled to -78 °C and a solution of fluorodimesitylborane (2.68 g, 10.0 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added. After stirring for 12 h, the mixture was hydrolysed with brine (30 mL), extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ and dried with Na₂SO₄. The solvents were distilled off and the residue was chromatographed on Al_2O_3 using petroleum ether-diethyl ether (5:1) as solvent ($R_f = 0.3$), giving 1.41 g (43%) 8 as colourless crystals, mp 138 °C. λ_{max} (cyclohexane/nm) 327.4 (lg (ε /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 4.64), 245.2 (4.07), 222.8 (4.96), 209.8 (4.83); fluorescence (excitation at 327 nm): λ_{max} (cyclohexane/nm) 447.0; fluorescence lifetime (cyclohexane, λ_{Ex} 322 nm, λ_{Em} 448 nm): τ 10.3 ns; δ_{H} (200 MHz; C₆D₆) 2.31 (12 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.38 (6 H, s, ArCH₃), 3.12 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 6.32 (1 H, dd, ³J 3.8, 2.2, pyrrole H), 6.66 (1 H, t, ${}^{3}J$ 2.2, pyrrole H), 6.85–7.10 (5 H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz; CDCl₃) 21.6 (+, ArCH₃), 22.8 (+, ArCH₃), 36.5 (+, NCH₃), 110.7 (+), 128.7 (+), 129.1 (+), 132.9 (+), 138.3 (o), 138.6 (o), 140.8 (o), 141.8 (o); δ_{B} (128.4 MHz; CDCl₃) 61.1; *m*/*z* (EI) 329 (M⁺, 100%) 314 (70), 248 (95), 209 (75), 105 (32). C₂₃H₂₈BN (329.3): calcd. C 83.83, H 8.57, N 4.25; found C 83.85, H 8.73, N 4.03%.

(N-Methylindol-2-yl)dimesitylborane (11)

At -78 °C, to a solution of 9 (260 mg, 1.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 mL) BuLi (0.7 mL of a 1.6 M solution in hexane, 1.1 mmol) was added and the mixture warmed up to room temperature. The solution was separated from the precipitated LiOMe and added to a freshly prepared suspension of 2.2 mmol MesLi, prepared from bromomesitylene (400 mg, 2.2 mmol) and BuLi (1.4 mL of a 1.6 M solution in hexane, 2.2 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 8 h, hydrolysed with brine (30 ml), extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ and dried with Na2SO4. The solvents were distilled off and the residue was chromatographed on Al₂O₃ using petroleum ether as solvent $(R_{\rm f} = 0.3)$, giving 90 mg (23% over both steps) of 11 as yellow crystals, mp 188 °C. λ_{max} (cyclohexane/nm) 343.5 (lg (ϵ /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 4.27), 250.7 (3.90), 226.5 (4.55), 205.3 (4.74); fluorescence (excitation at 346 nm): λ_{max} (cyclohexane/nm) 458.0; fluorescence lifetime (cyclohexane, λ_{Ex} 340 nm, λ_{Em} 448 nm): τ 11.4 ns; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz; C₆D₆) 2.25 (6 H, s, ArCH₃), 2.34 (12 H,

s, ArCH₃), 3.39 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 6.88 (4 H, s, ArH), 7.08–7.40 (4 H, m, ArH), 7.55–7.77 (1 H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz; CDCl₃) 18.4 (+, ArCH₃), 21.4 (+, ArCH₃), 32.1 (+, NCH₃), 110.8 (+), 119.7 (+), 120.6 (+), 123.7 (+), 125.6 (+), 126.8 (o), 127.8 (o), 128.7 (+), 129.2 (o), 136.9 (o), 139.1 (o), 140.6 (o); $\delta_{\rm B}$ (128.4 MHz; CDCl₃) 64.0; *m*/z (EI) 379 (M⁺, 65%), 364 (70), 258 (21), 244 (100), 119 (19). C₂₇H₃₀BN (379.4): calcd. C 85.43, H 7.97, N 3.69; found C 85.66, H 8.02, N 3.43%.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the Chemetall GmbH, Langelsheim. We thank Mr M. Spillner for experimental assistance, and Dr B. Knieriem, University of Göttingen, for measuring UV and fluorescence spectra.

Notes and references

- (a) Organic Materials for Photonics, ed. G. Zerbi, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993; (b) T. J. Marks and M. A. Ratner, Angew. Chem., 1995, 107, 167; T. J. Marks and M. A. Ratner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 155; (c) N. J. Long, Angew. Chem., 1995, 107, 37; N. J. Long, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 21; (d) C. Lambert, S. Stadler, G. Bourhill and C. Bräuchle, Angew. Chem., 1996, 108, 710; C. Lambert, S. Stadler, G. Bourhill and C. Bräuchle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 644; (e) L. R. Dalton, W. H. Steier, B. H. Robinson, C. Zhang, A. Ren, S. Garner, A. Chen, T. Londergan, L. Irwin, B. Carlson, L. Filfield, G. Phelan, C. Kincaid, J. Amend and A. Jen, J. Mater. Chem., 1999, 9, 1905.
- 2 (a) J. M. Schulmann, R. L. Disch and M. L. Sabio, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 3785; (b) N. L. Allinger and J. H. Seifert, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 752; (c) Y. Sugihara, T. Yagi and I. Murata, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 1479; (d) Y. Sugihara, R. Miyatake, I. Murata and A. Imamura, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 1249.
- 3 For commercially used aminoborane-complex dyes see: (a) H. Thoresen, H. Kim, M. B. Welch, A. Burghart and K. Burgess, Synlett, 1998, 1276; (b) R. W. Wagner and J. S. Lindsey, Pure Appl. Chem., 1996, 68, 1373; (c) J. Karolin, L. B.-A. Johansson, L. Strandberg and T. Ny, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 7801.
- 4 For a recent theoretical study on this field see: V. Bachler and N. Metzler-Nolte, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, 733.
- 5 G. Wittig and W. Herwig, Chem. Ber., 1955, 88, 962.
- 6 (a) J. C. Doty, B. Babb, P. J. Grisdale, M. Glogowski and J. L. R. Williams, J. Organomet. Chem., 1972, 38, 229; (b) M. E. Glogowski, N. Zumbulyadis and J. L. R. Williams, J. Organomet. Chem., 1982, 231, 97; (c) M. E. Glogowski and J. L. R. Williams, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 218, 137; (d) M. E. Glogowski and J. L. R. Williams, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 216, 1.
- 7 For boron based receptor dyes see: M. Takeuchi, M. Shinmori and S. Shinkai, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1996, **69**, 2613.
- 8 The corresponding 2-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)phenylboronic acid has been prepared by: M. Lauer and G. Wulff, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1983, 256, 1.
- 9 (a) A. R. Lepley, W. A. Khan, B. Giumanini and A. G. Giumanini, J. Org. Chem., 1966, **31**, 2047; (b) R. E. Ludt, G. P. Crowther and C. R. Hauser, J. Org. Chem., 1970, **35**, 1288; (c) L. Horner and G. Simons, *Phosphorus Sulfur*, 1983, **15**, 165.
- 10 For the deprotonation of *N*,*N*,*N'*,*N'*-tetramethyl-1,4-phenylenediamine see also: G. R. Loppnow, D. Melamed, A. D. Hamilton and T. G. Spiro, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1993, **97**, 8957.
- (a) D. A. Shirley, B. H. Gross and P. A. Rousel, J. Org. Chem., 1955, 20, 225; (b) B. Wrackmeyer and H. Nöth, Chem. Ber., 1976, 109, 1075.
- (a) J. Bergman and L. Venemalm, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 2495;
 (b) C. A. Merlic and D. M. McInnes, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 7661.
- 13 H. C. Brown and V. H. Dodson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79, 2302.
- 14 J. F. Blount, P. Finocchiaro, D. Gust and K. Mislow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 7019.
- 15 T. J. Weismann and J. C. Schlug, J. Chem. Phys., 1966, 40, 956.
- 16 For a study at the corresponding boron phosphorus system see: A. S. Balueva, G. N. Nikonov, B. A. Arbuzov, R. Z. Musin and Y. Y. Efremov, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.*, 1991, **10**, 2397.
- 17 K. Albrecht, J. Adams and D. E. Kaufmann, manuscript in preparation.
- 18 J. V. Morris, M. A. Mahaney and J. R. Huber, J. Phys. Chem., 1976, 80, 969.